INFLUENCE OF HEAT TREATMENT ON THE IN VITRO BIOACTIVITY OF ALKALI-TREATED TITANIUM SURFACES
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Alkali- and heat-treated titanium surfaces have earlier shown bioactivity. However, sufficient attention has to be paid to the sensitivity of porous titanium substrates to oxidation and nitriding during heat treatment under air. Therefore, in the present study, alkali-treated titanium samples were heat-treated under air, argon flow or vacuum. They were extensively characterized by contact angle measurements, diffuse reflectance infrared Fourier transform (DRIFT) spectroscopy, field emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM), thin film X-ray diffraction (TF-XRD), Raman spectroscopy, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) and glow discharge optical emission spectroscopy (GDOES). The in vitro bioactivity was evaluated in simulated body fluid (SBF). All heat treatments under various atmospheres turned out to be detrimental for apatite deposition. They led to the thermal decomposition of the dense sodium titanate basis near the interface with the titanium substrate into atmosphere-depending forms of TiO2 and sublimated Na2O. Consequently, less exchangeable sodium ions remained available. This points to the importance of the ion exchange capacity of the sodium titanate layer for in vitro bioactivity.

INTRODUCTION

The alkali and heat treatment of titanium surfaces, as firstly described by Kim et al. [1], successfully demonstrated to induce bioactivity both in vitro [2-5] and in vivo [6-9]. Nevertheless, adverse effects of the heat treatment on the in vitro bioactivity have been reported as well [10-13]. Still, it remains indistinct what mechanism is predominant in inhibiting the calcium phosphate deposition. Kim et al. described the dehydration of the gel layer at 400-500 °C and the conversion into an amorphous sodium titanate layer, the densification at 600 °C and the transformation into crystalline sodium titanate and rutile above 700 °C. The exchange rate of Na+ ions for H3O+ ions, and consequently the rates of Ti OH formation, reaction with Ca2+ ions and apatite deposition, decreased with the structural change from gel to amorphous and eventually crystalline phase [10].

Chen et al. reported that heat treatment at 600°C in air transformed the sodium titanate hydrogel into an amorphous dehydrated sodium titanate layer containing small amounts of crystalline rutile, which resisted the formation of TiOH groups during immersion in SBF [11]. Wei et al. observed a decreased apatite-inducing ability of alkali-treated microarc oxidized TiO2-based films containing Ca and P after heat treatment at 400 and 600°C. The dehydration at these temperatures was believed to inhibit the Ca2+ ion release and consequently the formation of TiOH groups [12]. Becker et al. reported the decomposition of sodium trititanate during heat treatment at 1050°C and a sodium content decrease due to the sublimation of Na2O (Equation 1). Consequently, less sodium ions were available for ion exchange and calcium phosphate deposition was reduced [13].

\[ 2 \text{Na}_2\text{Ti}_3\text{O}_7 \rightarrow \text{Na}_2\text{Ti}_6\text{O}_{13} + \text{Na}_2\text{O} \uparrow \] (1)

In the present study, alkali-treated titanium samples were heat-treated under air, argon flow or vacuum because sufficient attention has to be paid to the sensitivity of porous titanium substrates to oxidation and nitriding during heat treatment under air. The microstructure and composition of their surfaces were extensively...
characterized and the influence of heat treatment under various atmospheres on the in vitro bioactivity was evaluated in SBF.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Alkali and heat treatment

Commercially pure titanium plates (10×10×0.6 mm) are abraded with 1000 grit SiC paper and ultrasonically cleaned in acetone, ethanol and distilled water for 10 min at each step. Subsequently, they are immersed in 5 M NaOH solution for 24 h at 60°C and washed with distilled water. Finally, heat treatments are performed under different conditions: in 10^-6 atm vacuum to 400°C (VAC 400) or 600°C (VAC 600), in 260 l/h argon flow to 600°C (ARG 600) or in air to 600°C (AIR 600) at a rate of 3°C/min. Heating is maintained at 400 or 600°C for 1 h, followed by cooling to room temperature at a rate of 3°C/min.

Characterization

Cross sections are prepared by a cross section polisher (SM-09010, JEOL, Japan), with an argon ion acceleration voltage of 6 kV and an etching time of 4 h. A 200 nm gold coating on the surface distinguishes the substrate from redeposition, which is inherent to the cross section polishing technique. The surface and cross section morphologies are visualized by field emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM; JSM-6304F, JEOL, Japan), with an operation voltage of 5 kV. The surface phases are identified by thin film X-ray diffraction (TF XRD; X’pert, Philips, The Netherlands) using a Cu-K_{α} X-ray source (λ = 1.54056 Å) with a grazing incidence angle of 0.5 ° and a step rate of 0.01°/s. Resonance-Raman measurements are carried out on a 80-cm Dilor XY-800 Raman scattering spectrometer. The excitation source is a Kr-ion laser (Spectra Physics 2020) at 514.5 nm with a power of 0.8 W. The Raman shift is measured in the range from 200 to 1000 cm^-1.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

After heat treatment, the TF-XRD diffractograms and Raman spectra showed a decrease of the so-dium titanate peak intensities, according to the sequence NaOH + AIR 600 > NaOH + ARG 600 ≈ NaOH + VAC 400 > NaOH + VAC 600 (Figures 1 and 2). The decomposition of sodium titanate coexisted with the formation of atmosphere-depending forms of TiO_{2}. Rutile was formed after 600°C in air (Figure 1 and 2) and the reducing vacuum environment enabled the formation of the suboxide TiO after 600°C in vacuum (Figure 1). The appearance of the oxygen deficient TiO_{2} could be attributed to oxygen diffusion in the titanium substrate (Figure 1) [15]. Possibly other forms of TiO_{2} were formed but could not be detected by TFXRD or Raman, due to their amorphous state.

Kim et al. identified the titanate layer on titanium after alkali oxidation and heat treatment in air as being sodium pentatitanate (Na_{2}Ti_{5}O_{11}) [1,10]. However, Glasser and Marr reported earlier that the JCPDS card 11-289 corresponds to a mixture of Na_{2}Ti_{3}O_{8} and Na_{2}Ti_{5}O_{11} instead of Na_{2}Ti_{5}O_{11} [16]. The TFXRD diffractogram (Figure 1) and the Raman spectrum (Figure 2) of the alkali-treated sample showed a remarkable similarity with those of titanate nanostructures [17-19]. Kasuga et al. firstly reported the production of titania nanotubes after hydrothermal alkali treatment of TiO_{2} [20]. The formation mechanism and the effect of sodium removal on the thermal stability of these nanostructured titanates has been studied by Morgado et al. [21]. Nanostructured sodium tititanates, with general formula Na_{x}H_{2}Ti_{y}O_{z}·nH_{2}O, were formed after hydrothermal alkali treatment of anatase powder. Based on the TFXRD and Raman results, it is believed that the sodium titanate hydrogel layer of the alkali-treated sample corresponds to the tititanate crystal structure with general formula Na_{x}H_{2}Ti_{y}O_{z}·nH_{2}O.

The GDOES depth profiles showed that the sodium titanate decomposition after 400°C in vacuum and after 600 °C in air or argon was accompanied by a sodium shift towards the sample surface (Figure 3). The sodium signal from the GDOES depth profile disappeared completely after 600°C in vacuum. The TF-XRD diffractogram and Raman spectrum of the sample treated at 600°C in vacuum showed the complete decomposition of sodium titanate (Figures 1 and 2). These findings indicate that the decomposition of the sodium titanate coexists with the depletion of sodium. The sodium release can be explained by the sublimation of Na_{2}O (Equation 2). During treatment at 600°C in vacuum, Na_{2}O is removed from the environment by the vacuum and the equilirium of the reaction completely shifts to the right, which is consistent with Le Chatelier’s principle. Therefore, the main reaction of the thermal decomposition of the sodium titanate layer can be described as follows:

\[ \text{Na}_{x}\text{H}_{2}\text{Ti}_{y}\text{O}_{z}·n\text{H}_{2}\text{O} \xrightarrow{T > 400°C} \text{TiO}_{2} + x\text{Na}_{2}O + y\text{H}_{2}O \]  

(2)
The alkali-treated sample shows a mass increase of $1.34 \pm 0.07 \text{ mg/cm}^2$ and is completely covered by globules after 7 days of immersion in SBF, while all other samples do not show any precipitation after gravimetric and FESEM analysis (Figure 4). The TF-XRD diffractogram of the alkali-treated sample identifies the precipitated globules as hydroxyapatite and no hydroxyapatite diffraction peaks are observed for the heat-treated samples (data not shown).

The dense structure at the basis of the sodium titanate layer on the alkali-treated sample, near the interface with the titanium substrate and visualized by cross section...
FESEM analysis (Figure 5), disappears after all heat treatments and can be correlated with (Table 1):

- the sodium signal in the GDOES depth profile, which also reaches its maximum near the interface with the titanium substrate (Figure 3);
- the sodium titanate detected by TF-XRD and Raman, which also decomposes to a certain extent after all heat treatments (Figures 1 and 2).

Correlated with the in vitro bioactivity results (Fig. 4), this indicates that the decomposition of the dense sodium titanate basis near the interface with the titanium substrate, negatively influenced the hydroxyapatite deposition. Depending on the sodium content, titanates exhibit different structures. Papp et al. described the layered structure of trititanates, which allowed ion exchange, while at lower sodium contents the tunnel structure of hexatitanates hampered ion exchange [22]. Jonášová et al. demonstrated that sodium removal by water treatment did not reduce the bioactivity [23]. Takemoto et al. showed that sodium removal by dilute HCl between alkali and heat treatment enhanced the calcium phosphate deposition [24]. These findings indicate that the availability of sodium for ion exchange determines the ability to form Ti-OH groups.

Figure 3. GDOES depth profiles of alkali- and heat-treated samples.
and to deposit apatite. In the present study, the thermal decomposition of sodium titanate into TiO caused a decrease in availability of Na⁺ ions. Therefore, less ion exchange with H₃O⁺ ions was possible and less TiOH groups were formed. Subsequently, the combination with Ca²⁺ and HPO₄²⁻ ions and the deposition of a hydroxyapatite layer was inhibited.

CONCLUSIONS

Alkali-treated titanium surfaces showed to induce apatite formation due to their sodium titanate layer. All heat treatments under air, argon flow or vacuum negatively affected the in vitro bioactivity. The thermal decomposition of the dense sodium titanate basis near

Figure 4. FESEM micrographs of alkali- and heat-treated surfaces after 7 days of immersion in SBF.
the interface with the titanium substrate into TiO₂ and sublimated Na₂O seemed to be detrimental, as confirmed by FESEM, TF-XRD, Raman and GDOES analysis. After all heat treatments, less exchangeable sodium ions remained available. This indicates that the ion exchange capacity of the sodium titanate layer is of high importance for in vitro bioactivity.
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